

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/772,253 | 02/06/2004 | Mitsushi Fujiki | 042068 | 042068 6491 | | |
| 38834 | 7590 03/06/2006 | | EXAMINER PHAM, THANH V | | | |
| | AN, HATTORI, DANIEI | | | | | |
| SUITE 700 | 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WASHINGT | ON, DC 20036 | 2823 | | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| N | |
|----|--|
| D. | |

| | | | <u>0</u> | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Interview Summary | 10/772,253 | FUJIKI, MITSUSHI | | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thanh V. Pham | 2823 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) Thanh V. Pham. | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Kenneth Salen</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 27 February 2006. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant | 2) <mark> applicant's representati</mark> v | re] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)□ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1,6 and 8. | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Corvasce and Ohwaki. | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. € | g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ | N/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discusses on the unexpected result at the temperature higher than room temperature and lower than 300 degrees Celsius. The examiner requests a clear explanation in writing according to support in instant specification so that the case would be considered. At the moment, the rejection is under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) wherein Corvasce is combined with Ohwaki and temperature optimization.</u> | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no dallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. | copy of the amendments that | greed would rend would render the | er the claims claims | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| wp 02/27/2002 | Se Ge Prin | Luy Juna orge Fourson Pary Examiner | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. | Examiner's sig | nature, if required | | | | |

Attachment to a signed Office action.